

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 DANIEL STEVE DIXON,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-03-0262 DFL JFM P

12 vs.

13 G. LAVIN, et al.,

14 Defendants.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action pursuant to
17 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On July 29, 2005, defendants filed a request for leave to file a late reply in
18 support of their motion for summary judgment together with proposed reply. Good cause
19 appearing, defendants' request will be granted and their reply brief will be considered.

20 On August 4, 2005, plaintiff filed a request for an extension of time to file and
21 serve a response to defendants' July 29, 2005 reply to plaintiff's opposition to the motion for
22 summary judgment. There is no provision in either the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the
23 Local Rules of this Court for a response to a reply brief. Plaintiff's request for extension of time
24 will be denied.

25 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

26 /////

1 1. Defendants' July 29, 2005 request for leave to file a late reply is granted;

2 2. Defendants' July 29, 2005 reply brief will be considered in connection with
3 disposition of defendants' motion for summary judgment; and

4 3. Plaintiff's August 4, 2005 request for an extension of time is denied.

5 DATED: August 23, 2005.

6
7 
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9 12/kf
10 dixo0262.36c
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26